UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,353	01/08/2007	Alon Hochberg	174.1042	6413
	EXAMINER			
10 Abba Eban l	Blvd. PO Box 2081		FEENEY, BRETT A	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PUSDKT@EM-LG.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/551,353	HOCHBERG ET AL.					
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BRETT FEENEY	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress				
This application is abandoned in view of:							
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection			_				
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.							
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated</li> </ul>							
), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).							
<ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.							
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review				
7. The reason(s) below:							
/Bradley B Bayat/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624	/BRETT FEENEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 3624						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181. should be	promptly filed to				